

## RIO PUERTO NUEVO PROJECT – CIAM 8990 COURSE

Virtual Date: 8 October 2024

### San Juan, Puerto Rico



**U.S. ARMY** 







Rio Puerto Nuevo, Contract 2 – Roosevelt Avenue Bridge Replacement







- ✤ WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
- PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW
- EXISTING CONDITIONS & IMPACTS
- DESIGN EVOLUTION AND NATURE BASED SOLUTIONS
- DESIGN REQUIREMENTS CONSIDERATIONS AND UPDATES
- OTHER ANALYZED DESIGN ALTERNATIVES
- PUBLIC INFORMATION / COMMUNICATIONS
- MOVING FORWARD WITH CHANGE
- QUESTIONS





## RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW









PUERTO RICO

**UPPER PUERTO NUEVO PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION (2017 - PRESENT)** 



### RIO PUERTO NUEVO OVERVIEW





**Sponsor:** PR Department of Natural and Environmental Resources (DNER)

**Funding:** Approved Current Working Estimate \$1,584,982,000 (funds available at HQUSACE),

#### **Description and Status:**

- Construction will be accomplished in 17 contracts. Six contracts have been completed and ten contracts are currently in design. Upcoming contracts to be awarded are Contract 2 in March 2024 and Contract 3 in Winter 2024. The project's construction completion is expected in 2033.
- This project was fully funded by the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (BBA 2018), however current cost estimates exceed approved funding levels.

#### **Updates:**

- Team continues working with communities along Rio Piedras and Reparto Metropolitano. There has been significant progress made, with more to come.
- There is a heavy emphasis of Engineering with Nature on the design, collaboration with ERDC and continuing input from communities that is resulted in meaningful change to project.
- Reduction of >30 acres of concrete from the project!

#### **Construction Temporary Impacts:**

- Temporary impacts to environment; typical during construction
- Removal of trees to allow construction access
- o Maintenance of traffic
- Temporary Inconvenience (fencing, dust, noise, etc.)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

7

## **RIO PIEDRAS – EXISTING CONDITIONS & IMPACTS**

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

# RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT

- Río Piedras was channelized in the 1950's 1960's
- Twenty-six square miles of highly urbanized, densely populated flood basin
- Existing channel overflows when heavy rain events
- Bank full flows tend to occur frequently, every two years on average

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – PRE PROJECT CONDITIONS**

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 26 square miles of highly urbanized, densely populated flood basin
- Existing channel overflows above 2year storm event (bank full)
- Bank full refers to the water level stage that just begins to spill out of the channel into the floodplain.
- Bank full flows tend to occur frequently, on the average every two years (50% chance each year), its how the river form its channel; natural river process.

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

Low lying areas
High lying areas (above flood area)
Higher elevated area
Highest elevation in basin
Shallow flooding area
Heavier flooding area

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## SAN JUAN BASIN FLOODING EXAMPLES & HISTORY

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – IMPORTANCE OF PROJECT**

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

11

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

Note: Video taken on corner of Calle Interamericana and Calle Oxford showing flooding of Rio Piedras during a 5 to 10-yr storm event on November 15-19, 2009. Credit: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T\_osfiDlagA

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

Note: Profile above highlights Notre Dame Bridge flooding between recently seen 1-yr event (~25-ft water elevation & 15-ft above existing grade) and a 100-year storm event which would increase flooding by an additional ~8-ft.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RIO PIEDRAS – HISTORICAL EROSION CONTRACT 6A**

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

12

## RIO PIEDRAS – HISTORICAL EROSION CONTRACT 6A 🚳

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## RIO PIEDRAS – HISTORICAL EROSION CONTRACT 6A

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

14

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **EXISTING CONDITIONS – BUENA VISTA CHANNEL CONTRACT 6 – VILLA NEVARES**

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

### EXISTING CONDITIONS - DOÑA ANA & JOSEFINA CHANNEL CONTRACT 7 – REPARTO METROPOLITANO

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

9/3/24

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

16

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

### SECONDARY DRAINAGE

- The proposed RPN project will decrease the water surface elevation in the Rio Piedras channel during storm events.
- Tailwater conditions for the secondary drainage will improve and stormwater drainage pipes will discharge freely to the river without backwater into the system.
- Stormwater system pipes are generally designed with a conveyance for 5 to 10 years storms events, per local standards.
- Excess runoff should flow through the streets to the river.
- Streets should be graded towards the river so street runoff can discharge into the river.
- Local water ponding can occur on improper graded locations.
- If tailwater conditions are not improved (water surface elevation in the river) secondary drainage will not improve.

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **DESIGN EVOLUTION AND NATURE BASED SOLUTIONS**

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **ENGINEERING WITH NATURE EFFORT**

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

### WHAT HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED?

- Significant updates to old GDM design
- Removal of over 30 acres of Concrete from the channel construction
- Removal of adjacent existing structures along the project footprint allows for more greenspace and NB initiatives
- Several design ideas within the Contract 6 Rio Piedras area looking at Grade Control Structures to dramatically improve and sustain the environment.
- New Design updates could continue to minimize impacts to the green corridor and river
- Completed section of the project has a thriving ecosystem in the lower basin (Puerto Nuevo & Margarita Channel)
- Project also includes improvements to utilities/infrastructure (reducing sewage spillage into river/bay)

![](_page_18_Figure_11.jpeg)

The way people experience the restoration will be closely tied to these natural functions.

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **ENGINEERING WITH NATURE – CHALLENGES/REALITIES**

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Rio Piedras** 

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Technical Design Requirements / Challenges**

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

Puerto Rico – High Seismic Hazard

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

Puerto Rico - Wind Design Zone III

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **NATURE-BASED TECHNIQUES**

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

21

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **NATURE-BASED TECHNIQUES WITHIN CHANNELS**

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Subtidal, intertidal, supratidal: Enhanced seawall material (wall fabric composition or surface texture) (Photos: Burton Suedel)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

Credit/Photo by ECOncrete

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

TRANSITION TO EXISTING PAVEMENT

TOP OF EXISTING PAVEMENT, EL. 14.5

### **DESIGN UPDATES CHANGES TO CONTRACT 3**

23

SHEET PILE C

NO M

TRANSVERSE SHEET PLE

> EXISTING GROUNE

60'

LEFT CHANNEL WALL

비

**NEW DESIGN** 

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

13 Oct 2021 Notre Dame Bridge during flood waters from Rio Piedras. This is less than a 1-year (100% chance of occurring in a given year) storm event.

### 5-10-year storm event

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

Note: Video taken on property immediately south of Notre Dame Bridge showing flooding of Rio Piedras during a 5 to 10-yr storm event on November 15-19, 2009.

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

Trees are currently falling in to Rio Piedras due to erosion and expected to continue.

).33 m

### **RPN CNT-6A/6B** Ĩ **RIO PIEDRAS/MAIN CHANNEL**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

**U-Frame Concrete Channel Bottom** 

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Grade Control/Natural Channel Bottom**

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 1. Project are south of Americo Miranda

**Challenges:** To successfully design our project, the channel must be constructed in the dry alongside the existing channel. This unfortunately necessitates the properties on one side of channel.

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

Figure 2: Rendering showing what new channel and new linear green belt/park will look like once construction completed in this area.

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

Figure 3: Example of actual construction similar to what the Josefina and Doña Ana Channels will look like upon completion.

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

## H&H DESIGN STANDARDS

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Channel Design
  - ✓ EM 1110-2-1601 Hydraulic Design of Flood Control Channels
  - ✓ ER 1110-2-1405 Hydraulic Design for Local Risk Management Projects

#### Stilling Basin Design ٠

- ✓ EM 1110-2-1602 Hydraulic Design of Reservoir Outlet Works
- ✓ EM 1110-2-1603 Hydraulic Design of Spillways

### Climate and Sea Level Change

- ✓ ECB 2018-14 Guidance for Incorporating Climate Change Impacts to Inland Hydrology in Civil Works Studies, Designs, and Projects
- ✓ EP 1100-2-1 Procedures to Evaluate Sea Level Change: Impacts, Responses, and Adaptation
- ✓ ER 1100-2-8162 Incorporating Sea Level Change in Civil Works Programs

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **STRUCTURAL DESIGN STANDARDS**

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

Structural design must be in compliance with Engineering Manuals, Regulations and Design Codes.

	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES	<ol> <li>Purpose</li> <li>Applicability</li></ol>	ment s kes and Ground Motions tions el Structures and Substructures lopes and Soil Foundations projects g Projects	1 1 1 1 1 2 5 6 7 9 11 11
		Appendix A – Referen	ces Potential Classification for Civil Works Projects	A – 1 B – 1
		Appendix C – Seismic	Hazard in USA	C – 1
		Appendix D – Seismic	Study – Flow Chart	D – 1
		Appendix E – Progres And Ste Appendix F – Design a Evaluatio	sive Seismic Analysis Requirements for Concre el Hydraulic Structures and Analysis Requirements for Seismic on Reports	е E-1 F-1

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **STRUCTURAL DESIGN STANDARDS**

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

Structural design must be in compliance with Engineering Manuals, Regulations and Design Codes.

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **EWN POTENTIAL COLLABORATION WITH UPR**

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ĭ

#### Develop Living Laboratory Concept alongside the terminus channel of Contract 6 within UPR Experimental Station:

- Enhance UPR research opportunities by using project features.
  - Environment
  - Engineering
  - Education
  - Social Sciences / Recreation

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

San Juan Aqueduct Restoration Credit: Aldayjover Architecture And Landscape/Para la Naturaleza

### **EWN BEST PRACTICE - PUERTO RICO**

#### Enhancing Existing Flood Infrastructure – Living Laboratory Concept for the Ajíes Dam

- · Develop living laboratory to maintain & enhance primary flood risk management mission
  - · Environment (increase water levels to enhance aquatic habitat)
  - Engineering (enhance flood risk management; water recharge)
  - Education (school children; citizen scientists; universities)
  - Social/recreation (ecotourism; trail system; interpretive signs)
- · Collaboratively engage stakeholders so that the concept can serve both community and environment

![](_page_29_Picture_19.jpeg)

Left: Existing Ajíes Dam and related flood control structure. Right: Rendering showing possible environment/ human interactions through a trail, interpretative signage, floating dock to enhance fishing access, native wildflower plantings, increased bird and other wildlife usage, elevated water levels to promote boat access, and locally sourced building materials.

![](_page_29_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **UPR RIO PIEDRAS RESTORATION PROJECT MASTER PLAN (TAMIR)**

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

transition zone I buene viste channel river trails storm water collection basin recreation loop access from the Botanical Garden

storm water collection basin habitat islands

floodplain exhibits observation dock access from the Botanical Garden low moist woods education station

research wetlands petrocarpus woods PR1 underpath

recreation terraces **Botanical Gardon entrance** 

epiphyle wall garden river trails and ramps

path and footbridge historic aqueduct education shed

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – (CNT-6) RIO PIEDRAS DESIGN**

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **CONCEPTUAL DESIGN**

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – (CNT-6) RIO PIEDRAS DESIGN**

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

### CONCEPTUAL DESIGN URBAN COORIDOR

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – (CNT-6) RIO PIEDRAS DESIGN**

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **CHANNEL TRANSITION DESIGN ON UPR EXPERIMENTAL STATION**

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **DESIGN REQUIREMENTS, CONSIDERATIONS AND UPDATES**

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **DESIGN REQUIREMENT CONSIDERATIONS AND UPDATES**

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

**1991** Authorized Project

2018 Supplemental Program

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND HYDROLOGIC UPDATES**

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Design Considerations General Design Memorandum (GDM) 1991
  - Comply with applicable Federal flood plain management (100-year flood frequency, Annual Exceedance Probability 0.01)
  - Comply with Federal Flood Insurance
  - Section 402 Public Law 99-662

### General Design Memorandum (GDM)

- Rainfall Frequency: TP-42
- 10.4 inches for 0.01 annual exceedance probability (AEP)
- Curve Number methodology
- SCS Type II distribution
- 24-hour rainfall distributed into a 4-2-1-3 balanced storm six-hour distribution
- HEC-1

### 2019 Supplemental Update

- Rainfall Frequency: NOAA Atlas 14
- 13.3 inches basin average of approximately (11.9-14.7 in. spatially varying rainfall).
- Curve Number methodology
- NRCS Incremental Intensity Local Temporal Distribution
- HEC-HMS

		0.01 AEP Design Storm Flow Comparison (cfs)				
USGS Station	Location	2019 HMS Model USACE	1986 Survey Report 1985 condition	1986 Survey Report 2035 condition	2009 FEMA	
50049100	Rio Piedras at Hato Rey (at Pinero Bridge)	25,150	28,390	35,700	25,380	
50048770	Rio Piedras at El Señorial	13,774	16,490	22,700	13,941	

![](_page_36_Figure_21.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

### HYDRAULIC STUDIES AND PHYSICAL MODELS

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

- HEC-RAS
  - ✓ USACE developed
  - $\checkmark~$  Free to download and use
  - ✓ Industry standard
- Hydraulic 1D/2D & full 2D Models
  - ✓ Existing condition model
  - ✓ Proposed conditions
  - ✓ Construction sequence
  - ✓ Diversion during construction
- Computational Fluid Dynamics 3D Hydraulic Model (CFD)
- Channel Sediment Transport
  - $\checkmark$  To evaluate the scour potential on the channel bottom
- Bridge scour analysis
  - ✓ To evaluate scour potential on bridge piers

![](_page_37_Picture_17.jpeg)

**Roughness Flume** 

![](_page_37_Picture_19.jpeg)

Project Reach Flume

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **CLIMATE ASSESSMENT AND SEA LEVEL CHANGE**

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Climate Assessment for Inland Hydrology
  - The **climate assessment** for inland hydrology follows the USACE  $\checkmark$ guidance of Engineering and Construction Bulletin (ECB) 2018-14, Guidance for Incorporating Climate Change Impacts to Inland Hydrology in Civil Works Studies, Designs, and Projects.
  - ✓ The risk assessment identifies which project features may be at risk due to climate change and discusses potential future resiliency and adaptation measures that could be implemented. Sea Level Change The climate assessment for Relative Sea Level Change (RSLC)
- Sea Level Change
  - ✓ The climate assessment for Relative Sea Level Change (RSLC) follows the USACE guidance of Engineer Regulation (ER) 1100-2-8162 (USACE 2013) and Engineer Pamphlet (EP) 1100-2-1 (USACE 2019).
  - ✓ USACE developed SLC tools: Sea Level Change Curve Calculator and the Sea Level Tracker.
  - ✓ SLC scenarios can align with existing and planned engineering efforts, estimating when and how the sea level may impact critical infrastructure and planned development activities.

![](_page_38_Figure_10.jpeg)

9755371, San Juan, PR NOAA's 2006 Published Rate: 0.00165 meters/yr

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **DESIGN EVOLUTION – CONTRACT 5A**

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

### • GDM 1991

- ✓ 102 ft Wide Single Span Bridge
- ✓ Bridge Low Chord: 36 ft
- ✓ Super-Critical Flow Regime
- ✓ Design Flow: 42,100 cfs
- ✓ Average Channel Velocity: 34 ft/s
- ✓ Channel Slope: 0.003
- ✓ Channel Type:
  - ✓ Inverted Tee Channel Walls
  - ✓ Concrete Channel Bottom

### Current Design

- ✓ 95 ft Wide Single Span Bridge
- ✓ Bridge Low Chord: 25.5 ft-PRVD02
- ✓ Sub-Critical Flow Regime
- ✓ Design Flow: 27,450 cfs
- ✓ Average Channel Velocity: 16 ft/s
  - ✓ Average Existing Channel Velocity: 15 ft/s
- ✓ Channel Slope: 0.0024
- ✓ Channel Type:
  - ✓ Concrete Drilled Shaft Walls
  - Articulated Concrete Block Mats (ACBM) Channel Bottom or Natural Bottom with Armored Grade Control Structures

![](_page_39_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_25.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **DESIGN EVOLUTION – CONTRACT 6A CHANNEL**

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

### • GDM 1991

- ✓ Super-Critical Flow Regime
- ✓ Design Flow: 38,000 cfs
- ✓ Average Channel Velocity: 34 ft/s
- ✓ Channel Slope: 0.003
- ✓ Channel Type:
  - ✓ 113 ft Wide Trapezoidal with Pilot Channel to 88 ft wide rectangular channel at the upstream end
  - ✓ Concrete Channel Bottom
  - ✓ Above Grade Walls
- Current Design
  - ✓ Sub-Critical Flow Regime
  - ✓ Design Flow: 27,450 cfs
  - ✓ Average Channel Velocity: 16 ft/s
    - ✓ Average Existing Channel Velocity: 15 ft/s
  - ✓ Channel Slope: 0.0024
  - ✓ Channel Type:
    - ✓ 105 ft to 95 ft Wide Channel
    - ✓ Concrete Drilled Shaft Walls
    - Articulated Concrete Block Mats (ACBM) Channel Bottom or Natural Bottom with Armored Grade Control Structures.
    - ✓ Below Grade Walls

![](_page_40_Figure_23.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_24.jpeg)

## **DESIGN EVOLUTION – CONTRACT 6B BUENA VISTA**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

### • GDM 1991

- Channel continued upstream PR-1 crossing the UPR Botanical Gardens
- Sediment Basins proposed at the upstream end of the project
- Buena Vista Diversion to reduce discharge from Buena Vista Creek
- ✓ Buena Vista Diversion 36 ft Wide Channel

### Current Design

- Project finished approximately 1,700 ft downstream of PR-1
- Buena Vista Diversion to reduce discharge in Buena Vista Creek
- ✓ Alternatives considered for the channel upstream of the Buena Vista Diversion confluence: Articulated Concrete Block channel bottom, natural bottom with armored grade control structures, or trapezoidal earth channel.
- ✓ Other components still under design

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Current Design

- ✓ Rainfall Frequency: NOAA ATLAS 14
- ✓ Project resilience to climate change and sea level rise
- $\checkmark\,$  Natural bottom channels or with scour protection
- ✓ Sub-Critical Flow Regime
- ✓ Walls below natural grade
- ✓ Milder channel slopes

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

100-yr post project inundation map

### • GDM 1991

- ✓ Rainfall Frequency: TP-42
- ✓ U-Frame Concrete Channels
- ✓ Super-Critical Flow Regime
- ✓ Higher than natural grade wall height
- ✓ Steeper channel slopes

![](_page_42_Picture_17.jpeg)

100-yr existing condition inundation map

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **OTHER ANALYZED DESIGN ALTERNATIVES**

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **DETENTION BASIN VS FLOOD CONTROL RESERVOIR**

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Detention Basin:
  - Manage stormwater runoff by storing it and releasing it gradually until completely drained.
  - Generally used to capture the difference in peak runoff on developed areas to account for the increase runoff due to the impervious areas compared to the pre-development runoff.
- Flood Control Reservoir:
  - Temporarily store flood water and release slowly at a safe rate after the floods, so that it may not cause any damage on the downstream side.

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **DETENTION BASIN CALCULATION EXERCISE**

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Area = 879,000 ft<sup>2</sup>
- Depth = 5 ft
- Volume = 4,395,000 ft<sup>3</sup> (assumes vertical walls)
- Bankfull flow: 8,100 cfs
- 100yr event: 37,350 cfs
- 1,200 cfs will fill the area in one hour

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

## FLOOD CONTROL RESERVOIR COMPARISON

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Portuguese Dam, Ponce
  - Basin: 10.4 mi<sup>2</sup>
  - 100yr 24hr precipitation: ~24 in
  - Dam Volume: 368,000 yds<sup>3</sup>
  - Dam Height: 220 ft

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Rio Puerto Nuevo, San Juan
  - Basin: 25 mi<sup>2</sup>
  - 100yr 24hr precipitation: ~14 in

![](_page_46_Picture_12.jpeg)

\*Areas less developed are in the headwaters, too upstream to detain runoff to alleviate flooding downstream in basin.

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RESERVOIR EXERCISE LOCATIONS**

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Location #1:
  - Located immediately downstream of PR-1 and Rio Piedras Intersection
  - Surface Area: 64 acres
  - Volume: 1,400 acre-ft
  - Height: 25 ft
- Location #2:
  - Located immediately upstream of PR-1 and Rio Piedras Intersection
  - Surface Area: 81 acres
  - Volume: 1,800 acre-ft
  - Height: 25 ft

![](_page_47_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Legend

Max Available Area Dam Location

![](_page_47_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RESERVOIR EXERCISE ASSESSMENT**

- Each reservoir location was analyzed to determine if peak flows could be contained while alleviating flooding downstream
- Bankfull flow: 5,900 / 6,900 cfs
- Reservoir release: 4,000 cfs
- 100-yr Excess Flow: 3,642 acre-ft
- 10-yr Excess Flow: 1,417 acre-ft

![](_page_48_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL OPTION**

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Vegetation and flood capacity have an inverse relationship
- Vegetation increases friction and reduces velocities
- Vegetated channel need to be ~3x wider
- Requires additional acquisition of residential and commercial properties
- Bridges, expressways and infrastructure affected

![](_page_49_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### VEGETATION AND CHANNEL CAPACITY HAVE AN INVERSE RELATIONSHIP

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **PUBLIC INFORMATION / COMMUNICATIONS**

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RIO PUERTO NUEVO – COMMUNICATION STRATEGY**

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

Project	Т	OPICS	TOOLS / METHODS		
implementation	INFORMATIONAL	PARTICIPATORY	INFORMATIONAL	PARTICIPATORY	
		forts			
Up to 60% Design (Intermediate Phase) - Contract 6A - Contract 6B - Contract 7 - Linear Parks	<ul> <li>USACE Limitations (Authority, Decisions, and Regulations)</li> <li>Alignment and conceptual design</li> <li>Overall status of the project and future activities</li> <li>Ongoing investigations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Design alternatives (provide details for USACE to evaluate)</li> <li>Specific concerns about the proposed design</li> <li>Values that the stakeholders believe should be considered in the design</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Status updates</li> <li>Public announcements</li> <li>Fact sheets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Meetings with stakeholder and technical groups</li> <li>NEPA meetings, scope notification, and comment period</li> </ul>	
60% Design – Contract Award - Contract 1 - Contract 3 - Contract 4 - Contract 5A - Contract 5B	<ul> <li>Design details and updates</li> <li>Property acquisition and relocation status</li> <li>Future activities</li> </ul>	Concerns in relation to property acquisitions and relocations	<ul> <li>Status reports</li> <li>Public meetings</li> <li>Updates to the website</li> <li>Fact sheets</li> </ul>	CNT 4 - NEPA meetings, scope notification, and comment period, as applicable	
Contract Award – Construction Completion - Contract 2	<ul> <li>Future activities</li> <li>Contact information for reporting incidents and concerns.</li> </ul>	Report incidents during construction	<ul> <li>Business card</li> <li>Project sign and permit information</li> <li>Fact sheets</li> </ul>	Report incidents so that they can be addressed	

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

### RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT ENGAGEMENTS WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

Sample of community meetings since 2020.

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

### RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT INTERAGENCY MEETINGS

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

Date	Event
July 2019	Project presentation to University of Puerto Rico
August 2019	Project presentation to Mayor of San Juan, Hon. Carmen Yulín
May 2022	Project presentation to Major of San Juan, Hon. Miguel Romero, Alianza and US EPA
August 2022	Project status meetings with DNER and DTOP
November 2022	Meeting with LUMA Energy executives to brief on PR projects
December 2022	Project briefing to Congresswoman Jennifer González Colón and participation in La Chuleta Placement Site Groundbreaking Ceremony
December 2022	Site visit with LUMA Energy
December 2022	Meeting with DNER and Public Buildings Authority
January 2023	Meeting with DNER/ECOMADD to discuss Contract 2.
March 2023	Meeting with Municipality of San Juan to present the proposed conceptual linear park as part of the recreation facilities included in the project
August 2023	Contract 6 briefing to Fortaleza, DNER, and UPR on design strategy
August 2023	Meeting with PR Public Service Regulatory Board to discuss concerns with project scope and telecommunication infrastructure
September 2023	Meeting with Municipality of San Juan to discuss municipality action items related to the project.
October 2023	Meeting with state and local Government on Contract 2
January 2024	Meeting with Governor's Chief of Staff, DNER, and Department of Education to discuss potential school impacts
February 2024	Meeting with Governor to provide an update of the project
February 2024	Meeting with Municipality of San Juan to brief Mayor Romero to provide an update of the project

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_11.jpeg)

Sample of meetings with other agencies since 2019.

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **MEETINGS WITH NON-GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS**

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

Sample of meetings held with non-government organizations since 2018.

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **MOVING FORWARD WITH CHANGE**

# **REALIZED BENEFITS FROM COMPLETED PROJECT**

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### 2000-2015

- Note little vegetation and narrow creek that existed prior to project
- Leaking Sanitary Sewer spilling raw sewage into San Juan Bay

the contract of the second

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Substantial water body as part of project

- Significant Mangrove Habitat created. Many species benefit
- Elimination of raw sewage impacting environment in this segment

![](_page_56_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

# RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT

58

WE

ARE

HERE

#### **RIO PUERTO NUEVO NEPA DOCUMENTATION**

The required NEPA assessment, will have an associated NEPA document. There are three types of NEPA documents (CE, EA and EIS), which document is appropriate is dependent upon the level of potential environmental consequences of the action.

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

### RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### EFFORTS AND ACTIONS FOR NEPA COMPLIANCE

CLEAN WATER ACT	MAGNUSON – STEVENS FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT	FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION ACT	COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT	MARINE PROTECTION RESOURCES AND SANCTUARIES ACT	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE EO 12898
SECTION 401	NMES Exampled Eich Lightat appear ation	Coordination act report in 2001. In 2013 PAC the Service concurred with the determination that the proposed actions NLAA the species considered.	Determination of consistency of the project with Puerto Rico Management Program in 1998, 1993, and 2001.	SECTION 103	SECTION 106	
Water Quality permit received in 1993 and 2001.	comments were received in 2014, including specific requirements for protection of ESA			Material ineligible for offshore disposal will be deposited in upland material management areas (La Chuleta, Bechara and LMM Pond.	Completed 2001, Programmatic Agreement completed in 2023.	EJ evaluation incorporated into report
SECTION 404 (B)1						
Finding of Compliance and No significant adaptations of the guidelines						

#### MITIGATION PLAN

- Plan submitted to DNER, EPA and NMFS in 1993 and successfully stablished in 2015
- Planting April 2013 May 2014
- 28 acres of planted mangroves (mix of white, black and red mangroves) resulting in wildlife such as the Antillean Manatee, returning to completed sections of the project

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

### RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT**

Informal Consultations and Formal Consultations with Biological Assessments by USACE and Biological Opinions by the managing agency.

![](_page_59_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

To access official documentation, please scan the QR code.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NE - No Effect
NLAA - May Affect, but Not likely to adversely affect
LAA - May Affect, Likely to Adversely Affect
USFWS – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
NMFS - National Marine Fisheries Services
USACE – U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

## WHERE ARE WE NOW? / WHERE WILL WE BE?

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

**PURPOSE:** The primary purpose of the authorized project is to improve human health and safety, and to provide additional incidental economic benefits (recreation, redevelopment, etc.) through flood risk management and reduction in damages to structures, contents, and transportation infrastructure within the Río Puerto Nuevo Basin.

#### EXISTING IMPACTS:

- · Continued risk to health and safety of communities
- Old, failing infrastructure, not to updated design codes & standards (channels, utilities, bridges)
- Impacts to environment, economy, infrastructure and personal property
- Trees along the bank will continue to fall in and block river flow

#### **CONSTRUCTION TEMPORARY IMPACTS:**

- Temporary impacts to environment; typical during construction
- Removal of Trees to allow construction access
- Maintenance of Traffic
- Temporary Inconvenience (fencing, dust, noise, etc.)

#### **BENEFITS:**

- Significant reduction of flood risk to San Juan and communities adjacent to project
- Improved Infrastructure (new utilities, replaced sanitary sewer and electrical)
- Robust new bridges and roadways that are alongside project
- New Linear Parks, green spaces and recreational areas within and adjacent to the project
- New landscaping and Native/non-invasive trees along project. Opportunities to tie in to wholistic nature-based, city approach

![](_page_60_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **STORM ACTIVITY NEAR PUERTO RICO (2000-2023)**

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

Category

H1

TS

H1

H5

H1

5

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

Historica	l Storms		
Category	Quantity		Landf
TS	11	Storm	Year
H1	6	Jeanne	2004
H2	1	Olga	2007
H3	2	Irene	2011
H4	6	Maria	2017
H5	3	Fiona	2022
TOTAL	29	тот	AL

Source: NOAA Historical Hurricane Tracks Storm Criteria: H5, H4, H3, H2, H1, TS Date Criteria: 2000 to 2023 Distance Criteria: 150 NMI from San Juan, PR

\*Compared to 19 storms (same criteria) from 1976 – 1999. 152% increase last 24 years.

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

### HURRICANE HELENE – ASHEVILLE, NC

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

Rainfall

Reports state that between July 15-16, 1916, 22.22 inches of rain fell over parts of Western North Carolina. Versus Helene when Asheville received nearly 14 inches of rain through Friday, Sept. 27.

![](_page_62_Figure_6.jpeg)

The National Weather Service released rainfall totals for the following areas through 8 a.m. local time on Saturday

![](_page_62_Figure_8.jpeg)

rict in Asheville, N.C. along the French Broad River on Oct. 1, 2024 in the aftermath of And Thomas Costello II, USA TODAY Network

![](_page_62_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

### HURRICANE HELENE – ASHEVILLE, NC

People moved to Asheville to escape extreme weather. They forgot its tragic history. | CNN

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

"If you live in a place that can rain, you live in a place that can flood," said Kathie Dello, North Carolina's state climatologist. The past week has shown that reality starkly.

Asheville has historically been susceptible to impacts from heavy rain, but the severity of Helene "seemingly caught people off guard," said Ed Kearns, chief data officer at First Street Foundation, a non-profit focused on weather risk research.

He attributed this to a tendency to rely on past experiences that are no longer relevant in a changing climate. "Risks are increasing more than we as humans can perceive," Kerns told CNN.

Asheville has historically been susceptible to impacts from heavy rain, but the severity of Helene "seemingly caught people off guard," said Ed Kearns, chief data officer at First Street Foundation, a non-profit focused on weather risk research.

He attributed this to a tendency to rely on past experiences that are no longer relevant in a changing climate. "Risks are increasing more than we as humans can perceive," Kerns told CNN.

A recent First Street report found parts of North Carolina devastated by Helene could now experience a once-in-100 year flood every 11 to 25 years.

"People have pretty short memories on this stuff. There are always people who are willing to take a risk," he said. "This is the story of American post-disaster development."

![](_page_63_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **1957 VALENCIA FLOODING**

### 'LA RIUÁ' October 14, 1957: The Flood That Changed Valencia Forever

PUBLISHED ON <u>October 14, 2013</u> <u>https://carolineangus.com/2013/10/14/la-</u> riua-october-14-1957-the-flood-that-changed-valencia-forever/

![](_page_64_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_9.jpeg)

65

![](_page_64_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

# OCT. 29 2024 - VALENCIA FLOODING, SPAIN https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-Oam3r1OWLQ

![](_page_65_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **QUESTIONS?**

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)